

FACULTEIT ECONOMIE EN BEDRIJFSKUNDE

TWEEKERKENSTRAAT 2 B-9000 GENT

Tel. : 32 - (0)9 - 264.34.61 : 32 - (0)9 - 264.35.92

WORKING PAPER

Vices Research 41(1-2): 51-80 Corporate governance, opaque bank activities, and

Publisher and post-crisis evidence from Turkey

Olivier De Jonghe²

Mustafa Disli³

Koen Schoors⁴

July 2011 2011/729

¹ We gratefully acknowledge the helpful comments and suggestions of Lieven Baele, Valerie De Bruyckere, Iftekhar Hasan, Jos Meir, Rudi Vander Vennet and seminar participants at Ghent University, the UKEPAN conference held at Leicester University, United Kingdom, 14-15 November 2009, Society for the Study of Emerging Markets Euro Conference 2010 held in Milas, Turkey, 16-18 July 2011. Financial support from the Hercules Fund is gratefully acknowledged.

² Olivier De Jonghe, CentER, European Banking Center, Tilburg University, Warandelaan 2, Tilburg, The Netherlands. (o.dejonghe@uvt.nl).

³ Mustafa Disli (Corresponding author), University College Ghent & Ghent University, Faculty of Business Administration, Voskenslaan 270, 9000 Ghent, Belgium (mustafa.disli@hogent.be). ⁴ Koen Schoors, Department of Economics, Ghent University (koen.schoors@ugent.be).

Corporate governance, opaque bank activities, and risk/return efficiency:

Pre- and post-crisis evidence from Turkey

Abstract Does better corporate governance unambiguously improve the risk/return

efficiency of banks? Or does either a re-orientation of banks' revenue mix towards more

opaque products, an economic downturn, or tighter supervision create off-setting or

reinforcing effects? The authors relate bank efficiency to shortfalls from a stochastic

risk/return frontier. They analyze how internal governance mechanisms (CEO duality,

board experience, political connections, and education profile) and external governance

'i/C62

mechanisms (discipline exerted by shareholders, depositors, or skilled employees)

determine efficiency in a sample of Turkish banks. The 2000 financial crisis was a wake-

up call for bank defficiency and corporate governance. As a result, better corporate

governance mechanisms have been able to improve risk/return efficiency when the

economic, regulatory, and supervisory environments are more stable and bank products

are more complex.

Keywords corporate governance • bank risk • noninterest income • crisis • frontier

JEL G01 • G21 • G28

2